VALIDATION OF PCR-RFLP TESTING METHOD TO DETECT PORCINE CONTAMINATION IN CHICKEN NUGGET
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ABSTRACT

PCR-RFLP technique to detect porcine contamination in chicken nugget has been developed and validated in this research. Various concentrations of pork were fortified during preparation of the nugget. DNA was then isolated from the nugget followed by PCR employed primers which targeted a 359 bp cytB gene fragment of mitochondrial DNA. For RFLP, the PCR product was digested by means of BamHI and BseDI enzymes. Cutting DNA fragments from nugget containing pork using BseDI enzyme produced DNA fragment with size 228 and 131 bp, while cutting with BamHI enzyme produce DNA fragments with sizes 244 and 115 bp. All of these fragments were not present in RFLP analysis of pork-free nugget. The method shows good specificity and precision and could detect porcine contamination in the nugget up to 5%. The method has been applied to test commercial nugget. Four brand of Halal-labeled commercial nugget as well as four brand of non labeled one gave negative porcine contamination.
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INTRODUCTION

The main concern in the field of food safety is determination of food authenticity (authentication) and forgery detection [1-3]. In Islam, mixing food either meat or non-meat products with other ingredients such unlawful oil and pork becomes interesting issues. Contamination of the food by porcine component could also happen accidently due to lack of information of the raw materials. Since pork contents in the food related to the halalness of the foods, both issues should be received attention during halal certification process. In Indonesia halal certification is conducted by reviewing the documents related to food production process, which could not cover the authentication of fake or pork contaminated ingredient. Laboratory test is needed in order to confirm if the food contaminated by or not.

Some methods to identify the presence of porcine contamination in processed food have been developed such as the protein analysis, detection by using DNA [4-5]. Protein analysis included SDS-PAGE [6], IEF [7], ELISA [8] and HPLC [9]. Unfortunately, the test based on protein rather difficult because of the presence of protein is always dependent on the type of the tissue of food raw materials and sensitive to heat treatment [10].

DNA-based testing is growing rapidly due to its molecular properties advantages. DNA is more stable against changes in temperature or extreme pressure.
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These methods included DNA hybridization [11], PCR-RAPD [12], PCR-RFLP [13-14], species-specific PCR [15-18], real-Time PCR [19-20], PCR-based fingerprinting [21] and multiplex PCR [22-23].

PCR-RFLP methods are commonly targeted genes on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). The mtDNA is chosen due to its high number presence in the cell and possesses high rate of mutation leading to high variation among species [24]. One of the approach is amplification of gene encode cytochrome b (cytB gene). One of the primer to amplify the gene is located at position 70 and 429 (starting position in gene nucleotide sequence). PCR using these primers theoretically result in 359 bp length DNA fragments. RFLP could be performed by digestion of the PCR fragment using restriction enzymes of \( BseDI \) and \( BamHI \) followed by electrophoresis analysis. Fig. 1 show the restriction map of both enzyme for various species and the size of restriction product resumed on Table 1. Specific length of DNA fragments, 288, 171 bp for \( BseDI \) digestion and 213, 115 bp for \( BamHI \) digestion present only if the digested fragments are porcine DNA or contain pig DNA.

In analytical method different sample matrix mean different performance of an analytical method. This paper reports the performance of the previous PCR-RFLP methods [25] in detecting porcine contamination in other processed food, chicken nugget. This food consists of different ingredient to meatball and processed in different way which could lead to different performance of the methods. The observed performance in validation includes specificity and limit detection of the methods.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials**

Chicken and pork were purchased from traditional market in Yogyakarta. NucleoSpin® Food Kits (Clontech) was employed to isolate the DNA. PCR was performed by illustra™ puReTaq Ready-To-GoPCR Beads (GE Healthcare) with primer forward (PF: 5’-CCATCAAACATTTCATCATGATGAAA-3’) and primer reverse (PR: 5’-GCCCTCAGAATGATATTTGCTCTCA-3’) synthesized by 1stBASE (Singapore). Enzymes \( BseDI \) and \( BamHI \) were obtained from Fermentas.

**Instrumentation**

PCR was performed by thermalcycler machine Genecycler 10432 (Biorad). Electrophoresis apparatus for gel agarose was used to analyses RFLP.

**Procedure**

**Isolation of DNA from chicken nugget**

The nugget with various concentration of pork was prepared in accordance with common cooking recipes. DNA was isolated according to Nucleospin kits.
booklet with a minor modification. Sample of 200 mg of nugget were homogenized by using a pestle and mortar under liquid nitrogen. The homogenized sample were mixed Buffer CF 65 °C. The extraction was then performed by incubation of the mixture at room temperature 24 h after adding of Proteinase K. The next stages of extraction were carried out by following the procedures in the kit exactly according to kits booklet. The isolated DNA was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis 1% and checks its purity and concentration by means of UV spectrophotometer.

**Amplification of cytB DNA fragment using PCR**

Amplification process was started by adding approximately 100 ng isolated DNA accompanied by 10 pmole of each forward (PF) and (PR) reverse primer to Ready-to-goPCR beads tube. The mixture was then added by H₂O tube and mixed by gentle flicking followed by vortex and short spin centrifugation. The PCR tubes were then put into thermalcycler machine and programmed for pre-denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, 30 cycles of chain reaction using the following condition: denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, annealing at 55 °C for 1 min and extension at 72 °C for 1 min, followed by post extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR product was analyzed with agarose gel electrophoresis and estimated its concentration by means of UV spectrophotometer to predict volume of PCR product needed for RFLP.

**RFLP by digestion with BseDI and BamHI**

For BamHI digestion, approximately 0.5 ng PCR fragment was used. The digestion was started by mixing PCR fragment with 2 µL BamHI buffer 10x, 20 U of BamHI enzyme and added with nuclease free water to final volume 20 µL. The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The same amount (0.5 ng) of PCR fragment was used for BseDI digestion. The PCR fragment was then mixed with 2 µL BseDI buffer 10x, 20 U of BseDI enzyme and added with nuclease free water to final volume 20 µL. The mixture was incubated at 55 °C for 24 h. After incubation, both mixtures form both digestion processes were then analyzed using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Method validation**

Specificity of the method was investigated by comparing the testing result of nugget containing pork with free porcine nugget. Limit of detection was performed by testing series of nugget with various concentration of pork 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 50%. The lowest concentration of the pork in nugget in which test result still gave positive result was claimed as limit of detection. These repeat processes were also used to see precision of the method. The method was also applied to test commercial nugget. Four brand of Halal-labeled commercial nugget as well as four brand of non labeled one were tested using the method.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Specificity of the Method**

Specificity of the method was tested using 100% chicken nugget as a negative control and 100% pork nugget as a positive control. The method will be concluded as a specific if no interference in the RFLP results (244 and 115 bp for BamHI and the 228 and 131 bp for BseDI) that will only appear on positive control.

The electrophoresis analysis of PCR amplification of both positive and negative control can be seen in Fig. 2. Both sample show DNA fragments with size of approximately 359 bp as dominant PCR product. It means that PCR succeed to amplify part of cytB gene both in chicken and pork. Although the size of the fragments in both samples is same, they have different nucleotide sequenced. Meanwhile the electrophoresis of digestion product of PCR fragment with digestion...
enzymes can be seen in Fig. 3. The result shows that typical restriction fragments which theoretically related to the presence of pig cytB results (244 and 115 bp for BamHI and the 228 and 131 bp for BseDI) only observed in pork nugget. Based on this result, it is confirmed that the method is specific to differentiate chicken nugget from pork nugget. The result in line with our previous result with meatball sample [25].

Limit of Detection (LOD)

The LOD determination was purposed to check how low the pork content in nugget can be detected by the method. LOD was by performing the method to the chicken nugget sample which containing pork in various concentrations.

PCR of isolated DNA of chicken nugget with various pork contents result in same fragment with size of approximately 359 bp, as shown at Fig. 4. RFLP analysis using restriction enzymes of the PCR fragment, as shown at Fig. 5, gives no typical pig cytB fragment for negative control (chicken nugget). However, in positive control and chicken nugget containing pork, it was observed restriction fragment typical pig cytB fragment. The pig RFLP fragment can be clearly seen in the sample which contains pork. The restriction patterns still consist of fragment with the size of 359 bp. It is because the PCR fragment is a mixture of cytB gene fragment of chicken and pork. The fragment of cytB of chicken is remained uncut and present as 359bp fragment. The typical pig RFLP fragment can be seen in the sample of nugget containing pork as low as 5% (Fig. 5). In other words LOD of the method is 5%. This value of percentage is relatively high for limit of detection. This LOD is also higher than previous report for meatball in which this method could detect up to 1% pork content [25]. However this value is still acceptable due the fact that in production of nugget mixing of the pork to the chicken is purposed to reduce the cost of production, by substituting chicken with pork. Therefore the amount of pork to be added to substitute chicken must be higher than 5%. For meatball analysis lower LOD is needed due to the application of pork in meatball is not only to substitute the beef but also to improve the taste in soup as well in meatball itself, therefore small amount of pork is enough. The high value of LOD in nugget than meatball could also due to the shape nugget is much coarser than meatball leading to nugget less homogeneous than meatball. The use of eggs in this process will lead to interference because the egg is also containing chicken mtDNA, although in positive control sometimes we can see incomplete enzyme digestion of PCR fragment (Fig. 3A).

Application of the Method to the Commercial Chicken Nugget

Eight sample of chicken nugget was selected from the supermarkets. Four of the samples, SM1-SM4, are Halal labeled while the others, SM5-SM8 are without Halal label. PCR result and RFLP analysis of the samples are shown at Fig. 6 and 7, respectively. Based on the result it can be concluded that none of the samples were contaminated by pork, both in group
of Halal labeled as well as non labeled one. The result also indicates that Halal certification process, which is requirement prior labeling, of the chicken nugget has worked properly to guarantee of the Halal status of the chicken nugget.

CONCLUSION

PCR-RFLP of cytB gene analysis employing BseDI and BamHI restriction enzymes could be specifically used to detect pork contamination in chicken nugget. The method could detect up to 5% contamination which acceptable for nugget sample. The method has also been applied to test sample of commercial chicken nugget result in no contamination in both Halal labeled and non-labeled nugget.
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